



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/17/2001	Olivier Hersent	NCX-002 (6909/3)	6043
7590 02/17/2006		EXAMINER	
		SIMITOSKI, MICHAEL J	
		ARTIDIT	PAPER NUMBER
venue 10016-0601		2134	FAFER NOMBER
	10/17/2001 590 02/17/2006 CACKMAN & REISMAN venue	10/17/2001 Olivier Hersent  590 02/17/2006  ACKMAN & REISMAN venue	10/17/2001 Olivier Hersent NCX-002 (6909/3)  590 02/17/2006 EXAM  SIMITOSKI,  ACKMAN & REISMAN  venue  ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/868,151	HERSENT, OLIVIER	HERSENT, OLIVIER		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Michael J. Simitoski	2134			

	Michael J. Simitoski	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (3/ CFR 41.3/(e)), to	o avoid dismissai oi ti	ns of the date of leading and			
AMENDMENTS						
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>	insideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
s C Applicant's roply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate					
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
ACCIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		vation of Appeal will r	ot he entered			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the amoa	TAIL OF OTHER CAMPELIOC	10 11000000017 0.110			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ry and was not earlier presented.	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	(1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below of attached.						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered be			ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)  13. Other:	6 Usul	5,-				
	GILBER	TO BARRON TA				
		PATENT EXAMINER Y CENTER 2100				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitation "without any physical access" does not overcome the rejection under 35 USC §112 2 and requires further search and/or consideration.

MJ